

The Loss Frame Paradox: Examining the Psychological and Economic Determinants of Tax Compliance in India Through the Lens of Behavioural Nudges

Tamoghna Chowdhury¹ & Muskan Agarwal²

Abstract: This study examines the intersection of psychological biases and economic behaviour, mainly concentrating on how loss aversion impacts tax compliance in India. The primary research question focuses on the role of perception in tax compliance when taxation is understood as a "loss" rather than a civic duty, which is in line with loss aversion. Secondary questions probe the impact of cultural and socio-economic factors in India that moderate the loss aversion effect on taxation compliance and how some form of nudging behavioural biases can be leveraged for greater compliance. Through a literature review, this research proposes a framework for behavioural nudges, informed by the principles of behavioural economics, that can be adapted to India's diverse demographic and cultural profile. By incorporating psychological heuristics into the design of tax policies and communication strategies, the study highlights the importance of leveraging behavioural insights to create more effective tax strategies that may help reduce tax evasion and promote civic engagement. Given the limited research investigating the psychological determinants that affect tax compliance in India, this study addresses a critical gap in the literature.

Keywords: loss aversion, tax compliance, behavioural nudges, psychological biases, economic psychology

Tax evasion presents a significant fiscal challenge globally, undermining government revenues and constraining public investment. In India, the estimated annual loss due to tax evasion stands at nearly ₹2 lakh crore (Tejaswi, 2024), contributing to persistent fiscal imbalances and limiting the scope of developmental expenditure. The country's vast informal economy—comprising nearly half of its GDP (Dhoot, 2024)—exacerbates this challenge. Many actors, particularly in agriculture and the gig economy, underreport income or operate primarily in cash, making enforcement difficult. Despite ongoing reforms, tax compliance in India remains low (Dhami et al., 2023), prompting a re-evaluation of traditional deterrence-based models. This raises a critical question: What psychological mechanisms, beyond audits and financial penalties, influence taxpayer compliance in India?

One key psychological factor that could deeply influence tax compliance is loss aversion. This central concept of Prospect Theory posits that "losses loom larger than gains of commensurate magnitude"

(Kahneman & Tversky, 1979). Given this principle, it is commonly assumed that framing tax payments to avoid financial loss, rather than a contribution to public goods, should encourage compliance. However, a paradox emerges in this context: empirical evidence suggests that while loss-framed messages are often employed as behavioural nudges, they do not always enhance compliance. Instead, in certain socio-economic and institutional contexts, loss framing may reinforce tax resistance, triggering perceptions of unfairness, distrust in the system, and psychological reactance against perceived coercion.

This counterintuitive phenomenon, which we term the "Loss Frame Paradox", refers to the idea that while loss aversion should theoretically deter non-compliance, the very act of framing taxation as a loss can paradoxically lead to lower compliance. This contradiction emerges because taxpayer decisions are not made in isolation. Factors such as perceived fairness, institutional credibility, and broader cultural attitudes toward the state heavily mediate behavioural responses. In contexts where public spending is viewed

¹ Undergraduate student, Department of Economics, Hindu College, University of Delhi.

² Undergraduate student, Department of Economics, St. Xavier's College (Autonomous), University of Calcutta.

³ Corresponding Author: tamoghna007chowdhury@gmail.com

as inefficient or corrupt, citizens may interpret taxes as unjust expropriation. Similarly, individuals under financial duress may perceive tax demands—even when framed as losses—as coercive and punitive. Thus, psychological nudges cannot be treated as universally effective; they are context-bound and shaped by structural realities.

Empirical research in behavioural science underpins this statement. Psychological reactance theory studies indicate that individuals can actively resist persuasive communications if they feel they are being threatened by them and will respond negatively (Miller et al., 2013). When tax messages are framed around loss and delivered coercively, they may induce a reactive resistance that ultimately undermines compliance. While direct empirical evidence on taxation-specific loss framing remains limited, the underlying mechanisms are consistent with broader findings in persuasion and behavioural response theory.

Trust in government institutions is another crucial factor. When institutional trust is low, taxpayers are more likely to view taxes as personal losses rather than contributions to collective welfare (Koumpias et al., 2020; Torgler, 2003). In India, concerns around corruption and public spending inefficiencies have shaped negative perceptions of the tax system. As behavioural economics gains prominence globally, there is still a striking lack of India-focused research exploring how loss aversion functions within such a complex socio-political landscape. While Tandon and Rao (2017) highlight socio-economic and regional variations in tax attitudes, their work calls for further examination of how these variations intersect with psychological motivators like loss aversion.

This paper aims to fill the literature gap on the impact of loss aversion on tax compliance in India by emphasising the special role of communication strategies. Based on this premise, the two research questions include—(1) What is the most viable set of communication strategies that will harness loss aversion to effectively boost tax compliance in India? (2) What are the cultural and socio-economic factors driving the nexus of loss aversion-tax compliance in

India? These will be essential in informing proper policy interventions that can minimise tax evasion and enhance compliance.

Loss Aversion and Tax Compliance in India: Understanding the Psychological Phenomenon

The Concept of Loss-Aversion

Loss Aversion, as defined by Kahneman and Tversky (1979) in their seminal work, Prospect Theory, is a psychological principle whereby pain associated with losses is more sensitive than the pleasure associated with equivalent gains. Such emotional dissonance causes individuals to act in ways that could avert perceived losses—even when such actions may not have any economic rationality attached. Taxpayers are likely to be much more sensitive to the perceived loss of money from taxation compared to benefits derived from goods and services through public revenues.

Why Do Taxes Feel Like a Loss—The Indian Context

For most taxpayers, taxes are often perceived as less of a contribution to the public good and more of a loss to personal wealth. In India, these perceptions are compounded by psychological, socio-economic, and institutional factors. A trust deficit in government institutions is one such factor. Studies show that taxpayers in India report a significant loss aversion when they perceive that the tax revenues are misallocated or lack transparency (Torgler, 2003). For example, the overall negative perception of government expenditure, often characterised by corruption and ineffectiveness, contributes to such loss aversion. Therefore, some taxpayers engage in tax evasion as a means of minimising their perceived financial loss (Torgler & Schneider, 2007).

Then comes India's cultural context, intensifying these feelings of loss. Despite India's collectivist social structure, tax compliance is often viewed through an individualistic financial lens, where personal economic survival takes precedence over contributions to the public good. The level of psychological discomfort from the taxation process becomes more difficult from a cultural perspective that foregrounds individual

benefits over public welfare and thereby makes a more emotionally charged issue around tax compliance.

The perception of taxation as a loss is also compounded by India's historical and economic context. A long history of association of taxation with exploitative regimes and economic policies that have left segments of the population disenfranchised has led many citizens to perceive paying taxes as a continuation of this tradition. Under British rule, exploitative taxation mechanisms, such as the *Permanent Settlement* and *Ryotwari system*, disproportionately burdened Indian communities while offering little in return, fostering long-term scepticism toward state-led fiscal policies (Jawad & Shabbir, 2025). After independence, although taxation was conceptualised as a means to economic growth, the convoluted and generally inefficient tax regimes that arose—especially under *Licence Raj*—curtailed economic mobility and cemented bureaucratic rent-seeking (Aghion et al., 2008). Moreover, heterogeneity in the efficiency of taxes between states caused uneven enforcement and compliance costs, further distorting the notion of fairness in the system (Jha et al., 2000). Further recently, India's growing wealth divide and a largely indirect tax-driven tax system have added to the public's distrust as the lower strata of the population disproportionately shoulder the burden of financing, while high-net-worth groups pay relatively low direct taxes (M. Kumar, 2024).

Loss aversion in an Indian context, hence, is not solely a psychological attribute but is essentially embedded in the socio-economic fabric also. Behavioural interventions that concede and address that perception can positively nudge more people toward paying better taxes.

Behavioural Nudges and Tax Compliance: Harnessing Psychological Biases for Enhanced Compliance

The Role of Behavioural Nudges in Tax Compliance

Behavioural nudges are also referred to as subtle interventions into people's behaviour that prompt them toward choices that lie in their best interest. According

to Thaler and Sunstein (2008), a nudge is an intervention that takes a person's freedom of choice concerning those he chooses to do but prescribes no action in themselves. In the case of tax compliance, one example might include reframing payment of taxes as the avoidance of loss—a tactic that uses the principle of loss aversion directly.

According to research, loss-framed messages, such as highlighting penalties for non-compliance and the broader social costs of tax evasion, are effective compliance-building nudges (Chetty et al., 2009). These nudges exploit the asymmetry that loss aversion introduces, whereby individuals act in a certain way to avoid the psychological pain ascribed to the loss of money.

Case Studies of Behavioural Nudges in India

The Indian government has designed quite a few programmes that could be termed nudges. A key example is the GST awareness campaign, which used loss-framing strategies to emphasise the consequences of tax evasion and encourage compliance. By emphasising penalties in case of non-compliance and portraying tax evasion as a social loss to the community, the campaign aimed to nudge citizens into voluntary compliance.

Similarly, despite the broader controversy surrounding its economic impact, the 2016 demonetisation was framed as a measure to combat black money, portraying tax non-compliance as a loss to the national economy. This loss-framed narrative worked at different levels to reinforce the concept of compliance as an obligation of the individual and a collective one.

In addition to the GST awareness campaigns and the demonetisation initiative, India has implemented other significant interventions to enhance tax compliance. The Direct Benefit Transfer (DBT) program, launched in 2013, aims to reduce leakage in welfare schemes by transferring subsidies directly to beneficiaries' bank accounts. This initiative not only curbed the diversion of funds but also promoted transparency and accountability in the system

(Barnwal, 2024). For instance, the DBT for Liquefied Petroleum Gas (LPG) subsidies led to cumulative savings of over ₹90,000 crore by removing fake and duplicate beneficiaries (The Times of India, 2018). In the fiscal year 2021-22 alone, governance reforms, including DBT, resulted in savings of ₹50,125.37 crore (Mint, 2023).

Furthermore, the digitalisation of tax processes has played a pivotal role in improving compliance. The adoption of digital tools in tax administration has increased, with 86% of surveyed organisations using automated systems for transactional taxes and compliance. This shift towards digital platforms has streamlined tax filing procedures, reduced compliance costs, and enhanced the efficiency of tax administration. Additionally, the integration of the Public Financial Management System (PFMS) has facilitated real-time tracking of fund transfers, further bolstering transparency and trust in the tax system (Press Information Bureau, 2023).

Global Insights: Comparative Approaches to Behavioural Nudging and Key Lessons for India

International case studies offer critical insights into behavioural interventions for tax compliance. Across jurisdictions, experiments using loss-framed messages, deterrence strategies, social norms, and legitimacy-based approaches have produced varied results. These interventions, grounded in *Prospect Theory* (Kahneman & Tversky, 1979), *Social Norms Theory* (Cialdini et al., 1991), and the *Legitimacy Model of Compliance* (Tyler, 2014), reveal the psychological drivers of taxpayer behaviour.

In the United Kingdom, Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs (HMRC), in collaboration with the Behavioural Insights Team (BIT), used social norms messaging by stating that "9 out of 10 people in your area have already paid their taxes." This simple intervention increased on-time payments by reinforcing conformity bias—non-compliance was framed as deviant behaviour (Hallsworth et al., 2017). Similarly, in Guatemala, a large-scale randomised controlled trial (RCT) tested behaviourally designed

reminder letters. Taxpayers receiving clear, simplified messages outlining compliance ease and penalties, paid on time more frequently than those receiving generic reminders, demonstrating the impact of salience and deterrence framing (Kettle et al., 2016).

In Latvia, a study comparing deterrence-based versus social norms messaging found that legal consequence framing led to a 9.4% increase in timely tax declarations, whereas social norms messaging primarily improved late compliance rather than on-time payments (Castro & Scartascini, 2015). This reinforces the context-dependent nature of behavioural interventions—what works in one jurisdiction may not necessarily succeed in another.

In Denmark, a study explored the role of moral appeals in tax compliance by sending letters that framed taxation as a means of contributing to public goods. Unlike deterrence-based messages, these moral reminders appealed to intrinsic motivation and civic responsibility, leading to a measurable improvement in compliance rates. This intervention aligns with the legitimacy model of compliance, which posits that individuals are more likely to comply when they perceive taxation as fair and morally justified (Luttmer & Singhal, 2014).

Applying these insights to India necessitates consideration of socio-cultural and institutional dynamics. As Tagat (2019) argues, tax compliance in India is shaped not only by economic rationality but also by trust in government, historical taxation perceptions, and the legitimacy of enforcement. While social norm messaging has been effective in developed economies, India may require a hybrid strategy combining loss-framing with trust-building mechanisms.

Although the GST awareness campaign successfully used loss-framing, its impact was stronger in urban areas, where digital literacy and institutional trust are higher. In rural and semi-urban regions, interventions must blend deterrence strategies with legitimacy-driven communication. Demonstrating how tax revenues directly benefit local communities

(e.g., infrastructure, healthcare, welfare programmes) could reduce perceptions of taxation as an unjust burden. Additionally, leveraging regional influencers, culturally resonant narratives, and community

engagement models can foster voluntary compliance, embedding taxpaying as a social norm rather than merely a legal obligation.

Table 1

First and Second Intervention Messages in Global Tax Compliance Programs

Country	First intervention (Baseline)	Second Intervention (Behavioural Nudge applied)
United Kingdom	Standard tax payment reminder	Social norms messaging: "9 out of 10 people in your area pay their taxes." → Increased compliance
Guatemala	Generic tax reminder letter	Simplified + deterrence-based letter: Clearly stating penalties and ease of compliance → Higher payments
Latvia	Basic compliance notice	Simplified + deterrence-based letter: Clearly stating penalties and ease of compliance → Higher payments
Denmark	Standard tax obligation reminder	Moral appeal messaging: Highlighting taxes as a contribution to society → Improved voluntary compliance.

The Role of Cultural and Socio-Economic Factors in Tax Compliance

Understanding India’s Cultural Landscape in Taxation

India’s diverse cultural landscape plays a critical role in shaping tax attitudes. Collectivist norms, especially in rural India, often conflict with the individualistic ethos underpinning modern taxation. Perceptions of fairness, the role of the state, and what constitutes just governance vary across regions and communities, influencing how taxation is internalized.

Regional disparities in taxpayer morale further shape the psychological response to taxation. For instance, Northern India’s historical economic volatility and lower trust in institutions correlate with higher non-compliance (Cahyonowati et al., 2023). In contrast, states like Kerala, where governance is

relatively transparent, often view taxes as contributing to public welfare, thereby mitigating the psychological burden of payment.

Caste dynamics are another critical, yet underexplored, determinant of tax behaviour. The caste system’s historic control over access to resources and opportunity continues to shape fiscal perceptions. Marginalized castes, systematically excluded from public services, may see taxation as unjust and disproportionately burdensome. In contrast, dominant castes with greater economic capital may exploit policy gaps and exemptions (Goraya, 2024), leading to stratified tax morale across groups.

Economic mobility also plays a role. Individuals from low-mobility backgrounds often feel disenfranchised, viewing taxes as mechanisms that entrench rather than alleviate inequality. Yet,

affirmative action policies—such as caste-based reservations—have, in certain regions, improved perceptions of tax fairness and increased voluntary compliance (Darity & Ruiz, 2024). A taxation system perceived as equitable and development-oriented can shift entrenched attitudes, especially among historically excluded populations.

Socio-Economic Determinants of Tax Compliance

Tax compliance in India is deeply shaped by socio-economic disparities, levels of financial literacy, and access to digital infrastructure—all of which are interwoven with behavioural and psychological factors. Drawing from the *Theory of Planned Behaviour* (Ajzen, 1991), individuals' intentions to comply with taxes are influenced by perceived behavioural control, social expectations, and attitudes toward the system. In a structurally unequal economy, these determinants interact in complex ways.

High income inequality, for instance, diminishes perceived fairness. With India's direct tax-to-GDP ratio standing at just 6.64% in 2023 (H. Kumar, 2024), far below the OECD average of 33.9% (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development [OECD], 2024), the lower economic strata often perceive the system as regressive. The prevalence of indirect taxation, such as GST, imposes a disproportionate burden on the poor, while wealthier individuals are seen as exploiting tax planning instruments or legal loopholes to minimise liabilities. This perception intensifies loss aversion among economically vulnerable groups, who may view taxation as an added cost that reinforces their marginalisation rather than as a civic responsibility.

Financial literacy emerges as another critical barrier to compliance. A 2020 SEBI survey revealed that only 27% of Indian adults were *financially literate*, with significantly lower rates in rural India (Financial Express, 2020). This lack of knowledge leads to both cognitive overload and a fear of making errors during the filing process. Decision fatigue—a psychological phenomenon where individuals avoid action under complexity—has been shown to reduce voluntary

compliance (Ariel, 2012). Without a clear understanding of their obligations or the benefits of paying taxes, many opt for avoidance, often unintentionally.

Compounding this is the complexity of India's tax system. Small businesses, which constitute the bulk of the economy, reportedly spend up to 300 hours annually fulfilling tax obligations—triple the time spent in many developed countries (Statista, 2025b). This high compliance burden increases the perceived cost of honesty and encourages underreporting or non-filing.

Digital access further complicates the picture. While urban India has embraced digital filing—achieving a 90% e-filing rate—rural and semi-urban areas lag, with internet penetration at just 52.4% as of 2024 (Statista, 2025a). According to the *Technology Acceptance Model* (Davis, 1989), perceived ease of use significantly influences technology adoption. For many rural taxpayers, online filing platforms remain intimidating, opaque, or entirely inaccessible. This digital gap fosters dependence on middlemen, increasing compliance costs and opportunities for misreporting.

Government initiatives like the Common Service Centres (CSCs) under Digital India aim to provide assisted e-filing and outreach, but awareness remains patchy. International evidence underscores the potential of behavioural nudges—such as small tax rebates for digital compliance, successfully piloted in Indonesia and Brazil (Bellon et al., 2022)—which India could adapt to its federal and regional structures.

Ultimately, addressing socio-economic barriers requires both structural and behavioural reform. Simplified tax laws, culturally embedded education campaigns, and tech-enabled facilitation can significantly reduce friction. Framing tax as a *social investment* rather than a burden (Tagat, 2019) and reinforcing this message through personalised reminders, default e-filing settings, and social norm cues can make compliance feel less abstract and more aligned with individual and collective benefit.

Political Barriers to Effective Tax Compliance

Political dynamics are a critical, though often understated, determinant of tax compliance in India. Drawing from the *Slippery Slope Framework* (Kirchler et al., 2008), compliance is not merely a function of enforcement but also of the perceived legitimacy of tax authorities. Coercive power—via audits, penalties, or sudden enforcement—can induce short-term compliance, but often at the cost of taxpayer resentment. Legitimate power, grounded in transparency and fairness, is more likely to generate sustainable, voluntary compliance.

In India, aggressive enforcement practices—such as abrupt tax notices or politically selective audits—undermine trust in the system. These episodes foster an adversarial relationship between citizens and the state, reinforcing the belief that taxation is less about civic contribution and more about institutional overreach (Lisi, 2012). The result is a deterioration in tax morale, especially among the middle-income segment, who may already feel overburdened.

System-level resistance to tax reform can also be understood through *System Justification Theory* (Jost & Banaji, 1994), which posits that individuals and institutions often defend existing arrangements, even when suboptimal. India's experience with the 2017 rollout of the Goods and Services Tax (GST) illustrates this vividly. Though originally intended to simplify tax architecture, the reform faced significant resistance from state governments and political actors concerned about revenue losses and fiscal autonomy (Press Trust of India, 2025). As a result, the GST evolved into a multi-tiered structure, complicating compliance and diluting its behavioural and administrative efficacy (Kably, 2024).

Overcoming such barriers requires balancing authority with legitimacy. Simplifying legal codes—such as current efforts to overhaul the Income Tax Act (Adini et al., 2021)—may reduce both compliance costs and institutional friction. More importantly, these reforms should be publicly framed not as technocratic exercises but as efforts to foster

fairness, reduce discretion, and empower citizens as stakeholders in the fiscal process. Trust-building must be seen as a behavioural strategy, not just a governance goal.

Communication Strategies to Leverage Loss Aversion

Effective tax compliance messaging must strategically employ penalty-focused and reward-based communication, tailoring interventions to cultural contexts and leveraging public-private collaboration for broader outreach.

Penalty-focused strategies use enforcement mechanisms to highlight potential losses from noncompliance. Publicly disclosing tax defaulters' identities reinforces the risk of legal consequences, amplifying taxpayers' sensitivity to losses. In the United States, publishing lists of delinquent taxpayers and associated penalties has proven effective—a model that could be adapted for India's tax enforcement (Slemrod et al., 2001). Similarly, loss-framed deterrence messages, such as emphasising the risk of penalties, late fees, or legal action, can be reinforced through official tax notices, digital reminders, and mass media campaigns.

Reward-based strategies frame compliance benefits in terms of loss avoidance rather than gain. Early filing rebates or tax refunds can be positioned as preventing unnecessary losses rather than offering financial perks. For instance, presenting tax refunds as “a safeguard against losing eligible savings” rather than a mere financial return can enhance compliance. This approach aligns with behavioural insights demonstrating that people respond more strongly to avoiding losses than to acquiring equivalent gains.

Cultural and demographic differences necessitate localised communication strategies to enhance message receptivity. The effectiveness of tax nudges depends on language, regional influences, and alignment with community values. Messages framed in local dialects, supported by respected regional figures, and contextualised within widely accepted social norms can significantly improve compliance. Moreover, tax

communication campaigns should consider the differing levels of trust in government across urban and rural populations—while urban taxpayers may respond well to loss-framed digital campaigns, rural outreach may require community engagement and trust-building efforts.

Engaging the *private sector* in tax compliance messaging can further amplify its reach. Telecommunications and financial service providers, given their extensive rural networks, can integrate tax reminders within their platforms. Mobile wallet providers and digital payment companies can introduce tax-compliance features and incentives, reinforcing the idea that filing taxes is a modern, streamlined process. Corporations can also contribute through corporate social responsibility (CSR) initiatives, promoting taxation's role in funding public infrastructure, education, and social welfare programs.

Additionally, digital and social media campaigns enhance the effectiveness of loss-framed messaging. Targeted advertisements, leveraging behavioural data from platforms like Facebook, Twitter, and WhatsApp, can emphasise the financial and legal risks of noncompliance. Collaborations between tech firms and government agencies can further refine messaging, tailoring it to specific demographic groups based on online behaviour. Influencer partnerships, particularly with content creators popular among younger demographics, can reinforce tax compliance as a social norm. By embedding tax-paying narratives within culturally relevant content, influencers can foster voluntary compliance by portraying taxation as a responsible civic duty.

A comprehensive approach that integrates *deterrence-based messaging, culturally sensitive communication, private-sector collaboration, and digital outreach* can significantly improve tax compliance in India. By leveraging loss aversion in varied yet contextually relevant ways, policymakers can make taxation not just an obligation but an ingrained social norm.

Synthesizing Policy Recommendations

Improving tax compliance in India requires a multifaceted strategy that blends behavioural insights with institutional and technological reform. A mixed messaging approach—combining both penalties and rewards—can effectively address diverse psychological motivations. Loss-averse individuals respond more strongly to deterrence-based messages that emphasise fines or audits, while gain-oriented taxpayers may be more receptive to incentives such as rebates for timely payments. These strategies should be adapted to India's demographic diversity using regional languages and culturally embedded narratives. For instance, messages about collective welfare may resonate in rural areas, while legal deterrents may prove more effective in urban centres with stronger enforcement visibility.

Beyond messaging, institutional trust must be rebuilt through transparency and accountability. Public dissemination of how tax revenues are allocated—especially toward visible services like infrastructure, health, or education—can alleviate the widespread perception of corruption and mismanagement. Additionally, simplifying tax filing procedures, particularly through accessible digital platforms, reduces cognitive burdens and enhances voluntary compliance.

Digital innovations offer further potential for behavioural nudging. Incorporating gamification features—such as reward points for timely filings or participation in civic tax literacy modules—can increase taxpayer engagement. Automated reminders and user-friendly e-filing systems reduce procrastination and the fear of error, making compliance more intuitive and less stressful.

Over the long term, sustained public education is essential to reshape how taxation is perceived. Campaigns should reframe taxpaying as a civic contribution rather than a financial sacrifice, highlighting its role in national development and improved public goods. Involving local influencers and tailoring messages to specific communities can increase resonance and trust. Ultimately, the effectiveness of

behavioural interventions will depend on their cultural grounding and ability to address both emotional and systemic barriers to compliance.

Conclusion

With reference to the study of the effect of loss aversion on the tax compliance practice in India, this article highlights the impact of a behavioural economics approach toward tax compliance. More importantly, this psychological makeup, which shapes taxpayers' reactions to taxes, is dramatically influenced by the propensity of the populace to fear loss more than appreciating equivalent gains. The psychological bias that encourages tax evasion in India is the notion that paying taxes is a loss rather than a civic obligation.

The article has explored how loss aversion can be effectively used with penalty-based and reward-based strategies for tailored behavioural nudges. It has, however, integrated these nudges into the socio-cultural context of India and has shed light on the importance of regional variations and socio-economic factors in shaping taxpayer attitudes. Lastly, strengthening institutional trust, ensuring transparency, and developing public education campaigns have emerged as critical components of a successful tax compliance strategy.

The article also suggests that gamification and other digital tools can be incorporated into tax systems to make them more simplified and have less burden of compliance. These tactics, in conjunction with more extensive reforms intended to change the nature of taxes, should mitigate the monetary impact of tax evasion and foster an easier, more just taxation system. Ultimately, overcoming psychological barriers to tax compliance in India would greatly enhance the long-term sustainability and success of the country's fiscal policy.

References

- Adini, R., Subroto, B., & Baridwan, Z. (2021). Tax compliance: Slippery slope framework and theory of reasoned action approach. *The International Journal of Accounting and Business Society*, 29(2), 19-33. <https://doi.org/10.21776/ub.ijabs.2021.29.2.2>
- Aghion, P., Burgess, R., Redding, S. J., & Zilibotti, F. (2008). The unequal effects of liberalisation: Evidence from dismantling the license Raj in India. *American Economic Review*, 98(4), 1397-1412. <https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.98.4.1397>
- Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behaviour. *Organisational Behaviour and Human Decision Processes*, 50(2), 179-211. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978\(91\)90020-T](https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978(91)90020-T)
- Ariel, B. (2012). Deterrence and moral persuasion effects on corporate tax compliance: Findings from a randomised controlled trial. *Criminology*, 50(1), 27-69. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-9125.2011.00256.x>
- Barnwal, P. (2024). Curbing leakage in public Programs: Evidence from India's direct benefit transfer. *American Economic Review*, 114 (12), 3812–3846. <https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.20161864>
- Bellon, M., Chang, J., Dabla-Norris, E., Khalid, S., Paliza, J. C., & Villena, P. (2022). *Digitalization and tax compliance spillovers: Evidence from a VAT e-invoicing reform in Peru* (IMF Working Paper No. 2022/057). International Monetary Fund. <https://ssrn.com/abstract=4076354>
- Cahyonowati, N., Ratmono, D., & Juliarto, A. (2023). The role of social norms and trust in authority in tax compliance dilemmas. *Cogent Business & Management*, 10(1), Article 2174084. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2023.2174084>
- Castro, L., & Scartascini, C. (2015). Tax compliance and enforcement in the pampas: Evidence from a

- field experiment. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 116, 65–82. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jebo.2015.04.002>
- Chetty, R., Looney, A., & Kroft, K. (2009). Salience and taxation: Theory and evidence. *American Economic Review*, 99(4), 1145–1177. <https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.99.4.1145>
- Chattopadhyay, S., Das-Gupta, A., Bagchi, A., Singh, S. P., Bhatnagar, D., Mukhopadhyay, S., Bird, R., & Slemrod, J. (2002). *The personal income tax in India: Compliance costs and compliance behaviour of taxpayers*. National Institute of Public Finance and Policy, New Delhi.
- Cialdini, R. B., Kallgren, C. A., & Reno, R. R. (1991). A focus theory of normative conduct: A theoretical refinement and reevaluation of the role of norms in human behaviour. *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology*, 24, 201–234. Academic Press. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2601\(08\)60330-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2601(08)60330-5)
- Darity, W. A., Jr., & Ruiz, I. (2024). Caste, class, race, and inequality: Insights for economic policy. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy*, 40(3), 443–461. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxrep/grae038>
- Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. *MIS Quarterly*, 13(3), 319–340. <https://doi.org/10.2307/249008>
- Dhami, S., Hajimoladarvish, N., & Mamidi, P. (2023). *Loss aversion and tax evasion: Theory and evidence* (CESifo Working Paper No. 10224). CESifo. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4338241>
- Dhoot, V. (2024, September 14). GST evasion worth ₹2.02 lakh crore detected in 2023-24; over 40% from online-gaming. *The Hindu*. <https://www.thehindu.com/business/gst-evasion-worth-202-lakh-crore-detected-in-2023-24-over-40-from-online-gaming/article68643198.ece>
- Financial Express. (2020, November 24). Only 27% Indians are financially literate: Sebi's Garg. *Financial Express*. <https://www.financialexpress.com/market/only-27-indians-are-financially-literate-sebis-garg-2134842/>.
- Goraya, S. (2024, August 22). *The macroeconomic costs of the caste system in India*. VoxDev. <https://voxdev.org/topic/macroeconomics-growth/macroeconomic-costs-caste-system-india#:~:text=At%20the%20aggregate%20level%2C%20firm,75%25%20of%20the%20total%20credit>
- Hallsworth, M., List, J. A., Metcalfe, R. D., & Vlaev, I. (2017). The behavioralist as tax collector: Using natural field experiments to enhance tax compliance. *Journal of Public Economics*, 148, 14–31. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpubeco.2017.02.003>
- Jawad, K., & Shabbir, G. (2025). Economic exploitation under British rule: The impacts on Indian society. *Journal of Development and Social Sciences*, 6(1), 34–41. [https://doi.org/10.47205/jdss.2025\(6-I\)04](https://doi.org/10.47205/jdss.2025(6-I)04)
- Jha, R., Mohanty, M. S., Chatterjee, S., & Chitkara, P. (2000). Tax efficiency in selected Indian states. In R. Boadway & B. Raj (Eds.), *Advances in public economics* (pp. 91–104). Physica- Verlag HD. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-57654-6_5
- Jost, J. T., & Banaji, M. R. (1994). The role of stereotyping in system-justification and the production of false consciousness. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 33(1), 1–27. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-8309.1994.tb01008.x>
- Kably, L. (2024, June 13). Simplify rate structure, include informal economy in tax ambit: Tax experts. *The Times of India*. <https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/business/india-business/simplify-rate-structure-include-informal-economy-in-tax-ambit-tax-experts/articleshow/110972270.cms>

- Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (1979). Prospect theory: An analysis of decision under risk. *Econometrica*, 47(2), 263-292. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1914185>
- Kettle, S., Hernandez, M., Ruda, S., & Sanderson, M. A. (2016). *Behavioral interventions in tax compliance: Evidence from Guatemala* (World Bank Policy Research Working Paper No. 7690). World Bank. <https://ssrn.com/abstract=2811337>
- Kirchler, E., Hoelzl, E., & Wahl, I. (2008). Enforced versus voluntary tax compliance: The “Slippery Slope” framework. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 29(2), 210-225. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joep.2007.05.004>
- Koumpias, A., Leonardo, G., & Martinez-Vazquez, J. (2020). *Trust in government institutions and tax morale* (Working Paper No. 2001). International Center for Public Policy, Andrew Young School of Policy Studies, Georgia State University. <https://econpapers.repec.org/paper/aysispwps/paper2001.htm>
- Kumar, H. (2024, October 18). Direct tax-GDP ratio hits 24-year high of 6.64% in FY24, shows data. *Business Standard*. https://www.business-standard.com/economy/news/direct-tax-gdp-ratio-rises-to-24-yr-high-of-6-64-in-fy24-shows-govt-data-124101701510_1.html
- Kumar, M. (2024, December 16). India must do more to tax its super-rich, France's Piketty says. *Reuters*. <https://www.reuters.com/world/india/india-must-do-more-tax-its-super-rich-frances-piketty-says-2024-12-13/>
- Lisi, G. (2012). Unemployment, tax evasion, and the slippery slope framework. *International Review of Economics*, 59, 297-302. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12232-012-0165-x>
- Luttmer, E. F., & Singhal, M. (2014). Tax morale. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 28(4), 149-168. <https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.28.4.149>
- Miller, C. H., Ivanov, B., Sims, J., Compton, J., Harrison, K. J., Parker, K. A., Parker, J. L., & Averbeck, J. M. (2013). Boosting the potency of resistance: Combining the motivational forces of inoculation and psychological reactance. *Human Communication Research*, 39(1), 127-155. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2958.2012.01438.x>
- Mint. (2023, July 24). *Direct benefit transfer, governance reforms led to removal of duplicate beneficiaries*. <https://www.livemint.com/news/india/direct-benefit-transfer-governance-reforms-led-to-removal-of-duplicate-beneficiaries-11690201259327.html>
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2024). *Revenue Statistics 2024*. OECD Publishing. https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/revenue-statistics-2024_c87a3da5-en.html
- Press Information Bureau. (2023, December 27). *Ministry of Finance Year End 2023: Department of Expenditure*. <https://pib.gov.in/PressReleaseIframePage.aspx?PRID=1990746>
- Press Trust of India. (2025, January 9). World's worst tax regime: Congress attacks govt over GST rationalisation. *Business Standard*. https://www.business-standard.com/economy/news/direct-tax-gdp-ratio-rises-to-24-yr-high-of-6-64-in-fy24-shows-govt-data-124101701510_1.html
- Slemrod, J., Blumenthal, M., & Christian, C. (2001). Taxpayer response to an increased probability of audit: Evidence from a controlled experiment in Minnesota. *Journal of Public Economics*, 79(3), 455-483. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0047-2727\(99\)00107-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0047-2727(99)00107-3)
- Statista. (2025a). *Internet penetration rate in India from 2014 to 2024*. <https://www.statista.com/statistics/792074/india-internet-penetration-rate/>

- Statista. (2025b). *Time spent on GST compliance versus pre-GST business taxation in India in 2022*. <https://www.statista.com/statistics/1318831/india-time-spent-on-gst-compliance-versus-pre-gst-business-taxation/>
- Tagat, A. (2019). The taxman cometh: Behavioural approaches to improving tax compliance in India. *Journal of Behavioral Economics for Policy*, 3(1), 12-22.
- Tandon, S., & Rao, R. K. (2017, November). *Tax compliance in India: An experimental approach* (NIPFP Working Paper No. 207). National Institute of Public Finance and Policy. https://nipfp.org.in/media/medialibrary/2017/11/WP_2017_207.pdf
- Thaler, R. H., & Sunstein, C. R. (2008). *Nudge: Improving decisions about health, wealth, and happiness*. Yale University Press.
- Tejaswi, M. (2024, May 24). India's over 400 million informal labour market requires a structural shift: ISF. *The Hindu*. <https://www.thehindu.com/business/indias-over-400-mn-informal-labour-market-requires-a-structural-shift-isf/article68211459.ece>
- Torgler, B. (2003). Tax morale, rule-governed behaviour and trust. *Constitutional Political Economy*, 14, 119–140. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1023643622283>
- Torgler, B., & Schneider, F. (2007). *Shadow economy, tax morale, governance and institutional quality: A panel analysis* (IZA Discussion Paper No. 2563; CESifo Working Paper Series No. 1923). IZA Institute of Labor Economics; CESifo. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.960012>
- The Times of India. (2018, June 19). How direct benefit transfer helped save govt Rs 90,000 cr. <https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/business/direct-benefit-transfer-helped-save-govt-rs-90000-cr/articleshow/64644515.cms>
- Tyler, T. R. & Jackson, J. (2014). Popular legitimacy and the exercise of legal authority: Motivating compliance, cooperation, and engagement. *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law*, 20(1), 78–95. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0034514>